Estimates of genetic correlations between pelvic measurements and calving ease for Australian Angus

Karin Meyer & Hans-Ulrich Graser

Animal Genetics and Breeding Unit, University of New England, Armidale, NSW 2351





#### Introduction

Calving difficulties : discrepancies size & shape of calf size & shape of pelvic opening of dam Pelvic measurements useful to reduce incidence of difficult calvings? Auxiliary selection criterion ? heritability & variability genetic correlation with calving ease



#### Data

Pelvic measurements taken in 'validation herds' 1991-1994 ▶ 300 to 700 days of age **Rice pelvimeter**  internal measurement invasive procedure Rice Pelvimeter Earlier results (Bunter & Upton 1995) PM moderately to highly heritable strong, positive genetic correlation between PM on males & females

#### Data - 2

 Need records on calving performance of heifers measured to assess correlation with calving ease

 now available

 Calving ease scores (1-5)

21,191 Angus calves born 1989-96

Iow incidence of difficult calvings

1.85% of birth "assisted" (2)

0.76% of birth "difficult" (3)

6 births "veterinary assistance" (4)

#### Traits

Measured on heifers **PH** : pelvic height (cm) **PW** : pelvic width (cm) **PA** : pelvic area (cm<sup>2</sup>) **HH** : hip height (cm) Treated as trait of the calf **CE** : calving ease (score 1-5)



# No. of records - PM



## Means - PM



#### CE : Univariate analyses REML, animal model pedigree info up to 2 generations back examine importance of maternal eff.s **genetic** permanent environmental Fixed effects contemporary groups "heifer factor" (age of dam class) dam age as linear & quadratic covariable

# CE: Univ. analyses - 2

# Treat CE

as continuous traitas trait of the calf born



# CE : Results

Model fitting genetic & p.e. maternal effects assuming r<sub>AM</sub>≠0 fitted best  $h^2 = 0.05$ Direct heritability  $m^2 = 0.04$ Maternal heritability Permanent environmental maternal effect  $c^2 = 0.33$ Direct-maternal genetic correlation  $r_{AM} = -0.47$ antagonistic relationship plausible (size)  $\checkmark$  some  $\checkmark$  bias ?

## CE + PM : Bivariate analyses

- PM : Fit direct genetic effects only
  CE : Fit
- direct & maternal genetic effects
  maternal perm. environmental effects
  Estimate correlations CE & PM
  direct genetic correlation
  direct-maternal genetic correlation
  residual

## CE + PM : Estimates -1

#### **Direct heritability estimates for PM**



# CE + PM : Estimates -2 Direct genetic correlations



## <sup>15</sup> CE + PM : Estimates -3

Correlations between direct effects for PM & maternal effects for CE



#### Results

Low correlations for records at 400 d Records at 600 d : Low to moderate, antagonistic direct genetic correlations (0.2 to 0.6) calves with larger PM tend to have more difficult birth Low, favourable direct-maternal genetic correlations (-0.3 to -0.5) cows with larger PM tend to have calves born with lower CE scores

# Conclusions

PM can assist in selection against calving difficulties .... But : Invasive procedure Correlations are low ! different for breeds with higher incidence of calving difficulties ? **Recommend**: selection based on EBVs for CE, BW & GL





17